

1. Find all continuous on \mathbb{R} functions f which satisfy the relation $f(x + 2024) = \frac{1 + f(x)}{1 - f(x)}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Solution.

Let us consider possible values of the function f . It is not possible that $f(x) = 1$ because in this case $f(x + 2024)$ does not defined.

If $f(x_1) = 0$ then $f(x_1 + 2024) = 1$ and $f(x_1 + 4048)$ does not defined.

If $0 < f(x_1) < 1$ then $f(x_1 + 2024) = \frac{1 + f(x_1)}{1 - f(x_1)} > 1$. Hence, due to the continuity of f there exists a point x_2 between x_1 and $x_1 + 2024$ for which $f(x_2) = 1$. But it is forbidden.

If $1 < f(x_1)$ then $f(x_1 + 2024) = \frac{1 + f(x_1)}{1 - f(x_1)} < 0$. Hence, due to the continuity of f there exists a point x_2 between x_1 and $x_1 + 2024$ for which $f(x_2) = 1$. But it is forbidden.

If $-1 \leq f(x_1) < 0$ then $f(x_1 + 2024) = \frac{1 + f(x_1)}{1 - f(x_1)} \geq 0$. Hence, due to the continuity of f there exists a point x_2 between x_1 and $x_1 + 2024$ for which $f(x_2) = 0$. But it is forbidden.

If $f(x_1) < -1$ then $-1 < f(x_1 + 2024) = \frac{1 + f(x_1)}{1 - f(x_1)} < 0$. Hence, we obtain the previous case for $x_1 + 2024$. But it is forbidden.

Thus, the function f can not take any value. Such function does not exist.

2. Polynomial $P(x) = x^{2024} + c_{2022}x^{2022} + c_{2021}x^{2021} + \dots + c_0$ has 2024 real roots $b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_{2024}$. Let us construct the infinite sequence by repeating these numbers $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{2024}, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{2024}, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{2024}, \dots$. Let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of roots of the equation $\sqrt{x} \sin x = 1$ taken in increasing order. Does the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \sin a_n$ converge?

Answer. The series converges.

Solution.

Due to the Viete theorem, $\sum_{n=1}^{2024} b_n = 0$. Hence, partial sums of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ are bounded

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^m b_n \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{2024} |b_n| = M.$$

Equation $\sqrt{x} \sin x = 1$ has two roots at each segment $[2\pi k, 2\pi k + \pi]$. Correspondingly, the sequence of roots $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ monotonically tends to infinity. At the same time $\sin a_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_n}} \rightarrow 0$ monotonically. Hence, due to the Dirichlet

theorem the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \sin a_n$ converges.

3. Is it true that for any continuously differentiable on $[0,1]$ function f the following inequality holds: $\left|f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right| \leq \int_0^1 |f(x)| dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |f'(x)| dx$?

Solution.

$$f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \int_0^1 f(x) dx - \int_0^{1/2} f(x) dx - \int_{1/2}^1 f(x) dx =$$

$$f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \int_0^1 f(x) dx - \frac{1}{2} (xf(x))\Big|_0^{1/2} - \int_0^{1/2} xf'(x) dx -$$

$$\frac{1}{2} ((x-1)f(x))\Big|_{1/2}^1 - \int_{1/2}^1 (x-1)f'(x) dx =$$

$$\int_0^1 f(x) dx + \int_0^{1/2} xf'(x) dx + \int_0^{1/2} (x-1)f'(x) dx.$$

Hence,

$$\left|f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right| = \left|\int_0^1 f(x) dx + \int_0^{1/2} xf'(x) dx + \int_{1/2}^1 (x-1)f'(x) dx\right| \leq$$

$$\int_0^1 |f(x)| dx + \int_0^{1/2} |xf'(x)| dx + \int_{1/2}^1 |(x-1)f'(x)| dx \leq$$

$$\int_0^1 |f(x)| dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{1/2} |f'(x)| dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{1/2}^1 |f'(x)| dx =$$

$$\int_0^1 |f(x)| dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |f'(x)| dx.$$

The proof is finished.

Problem

Consider the two-dimensional dynamical system $\dot{x} = f(x)$ with polynomial right-hand side. Let $x_\varphi(t)$ be the solution to the system maximally extended to the right and satisfying the initial condition $x_\varphi(0) = (\cos \varphi, \sin \varphi)$. For all $\varphi \in (-\pi; \pi)$ the solution $x_\varphi(t)$ tends to 0 as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Is it possible for the solution $x_\pi(t)$:

- a) not to tend to 0 as $t \rightarrow +\infty$;
- b) be unbounded for $t \geq 0$;
- c) be unextendible onto $[0; +\infty)$?

Answer

All possible: a) yes; b) yes; c) yes.

Solution

Treating 2-vectors as complex numbers, consider the equation $\dot{z} = -z^2$, which can be resolved explicitly. All its solutions (besides $z \equiv 0$) are defined as $z(t) = 1/(t+C)$ with $C = 1/z(0)$. So, $z_\varphi(t) = 1/(t + e^{-i\varphi})$. This is well defined on $[0; +\infty)$ and tends to 0 as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ whenever $\varphi \in (-\pi; \pi)$. However, the unbounded solution $z_\pi(t) = 1/(t-1)$ cannot be extended to the right of 1 and therefore has no limit at $+\infty$. (Though the same formula $1/(t-1)$ defines on $(1; +\infty)$ a solution tending to 0, but it is not z_π because of the initial conditions.)

1. Let n be a given positive integer. Find the minimal d such that for all distinct complex numbers z_1, \dots, z_n there exists a complex polynomial $p(z)$ of degree d such that $|p(z_1)| > \max_{1 < j \leq n} |p(z_j)|$.

Solution.

For $d = n - 1$. For such d take a polynomial $p(z) = \prod_{j=2}^n (z - z_j)$, for example. If $d < n - 1$, consider the points $z_1 = 0, z_2, \dots, z_n$ being all roots of unity of degree $n - 1$. Note that $z_2^k + \dots + z_n^k = 0$ for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2$ (that may be proved as follows: for $w = e^{2\pi i/(n-1)}$ two arrays (z_2, \dots, z_n) and (wz_2, \dots, wz_n) are both enumerations of the roots of unity of degree $n - 1$, thus the sums of k -th powers of these two arrays are equal: $z_2^k + \dots + z_n^k = w^k(z_2^k + \dots + z_n^k)$, but $w^k \neq 1$, hence $z_2^k + \dots + z_n^k = 0$.) Thus the equation $q(z_2) + \dots + q(z_n) = (n - 1)q(0)$ holds for $q(z) = z, q(z) = z^2, \dots, q(z) = z^{n-2}$. It also holds for $q(z) = 1$. Hence by linearity it holds for every polynomial of degree at most $n - 2$, that is, if $\deg p(z) \leq n - 2$ then $p(z_2) + \dots + p(z_n) = (n - 1)p(0) = (n - 1)p(z_1)$. Now by triangle inequality we get $(n - 1)|p(z_1)| \leq \sum_{k=2}^n |p(z_k)| \leq (n - 1) \max_{2 \leq k \leq n} |p(z_k)|$ — a contradiction.

2. A sequence $0 < a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < \dots$ and positive number C are chosen so that

$$|e^{ia_1} + e^{ia_2} + \dots + e^{ia_n}| \leq C$$

for all positive integer n . Prove that $a_n \geq \frac{n}{2C} - 2$ for all n .

Solution.

We need

Lemma. Assume that $0 < T < \pi$ and $a_m - a_s \leq T$ for certain indices $s \leq m$.

Then

$$|e^{ia_s} + \dots + e^{ia_m}| \geq (m - s + 1) \cos \frac{T}{2}$$

Proof of the lemma. Put $\theta = a_s + T/2$. Then $\theta - T/2 = a_s \leq a_m \leq \theta + T/2$, hence all $m - s + 1$ numbers $b_s := a_s - \theta, \dots, b_m := a_m - \theta$ belong to $[-T/2, T/2]$. Therefore

$$|e^{ia_s} + \dots + e^{ia_m}| = |e^{ib_s} + \dots + e^{ib_m}| \geq \Re(e^{ib_s} + \dots + e^{ib_m}) \geq (m - s + 1) \cos \frac{T}{2}.$$

Now, assuming the contrary to the claim of the problem, consider the minimal n for which $a_n < n/(2C) - 2$. Then in particular $0 < a_n < n/(2C) - 2$ and $n > 4C$, i.e., $n \geq k := \lceil 4C \rceil$. Consider the numbers a_{n-k+1}, \dots, a_n . From minimality of n we have $a_{n-k+1} \geq (n - k + 1)/(2C) - 2$, therefore $a_n - a_{n-k+1} < (k - 1)/(2C) < 2$. Lemma (for $T = 2$) yields

$$|e^{ia_{n-k+1}} + \dots + e^{ia_n}| \geq k \cos 1 > k/2 \geq 2C,$$

contradicting to the given bound (by triangle inequality).

one obtains:

$$V_n = \frac{1}{n!} \text{mod} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\Delta_{21}}{\Delta_{2,n+1}} - \frac{\Delta_{11}}{\Delta_{1,n+1}} & \frac{\Delta_{22}}{\Delta_{2,n+1}} - \frac{\Delta_{12}}{\Delta_{1,n+1}} & \dots & \frac{\Delta_{2n}}{\Delta_{2,n+1}} - \frac{\Delta_{1n}}{\Delta_{1,n+1}} \\ \frac{\Delta_{31}}{\Delta_{3,n+1}} - \frac{\Delta_{11}}{\Delta_{1,n+1}} & \frac{\Delta_{32}}{\Delta_{3,n+1}} - \frac{\Delta_{12}}{\Delta_{1,n+1}} & \dots & \frac{\Delta_{3n}}{\Delta_{3,n+1}} - \frac{\Delta_{1n}}{\Delta_{1,n+1}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{\Delta_{n+1,1}}{\Delta_{n+1,n+1}} - \frac{\Delta_{11}}{\Delta_{1,n+1}} & \frac{\Delta_{n+1,2}}{\Delta_{n+1,n+1}} - \frac{\Delta_{12}}{\Delta_{1,n+1}} & \dots & \frac{\Delta_{n+1,n}}{\Delta_{n+1,n+1}} - \frac{\Delta_{1n}}{\Delta_{1,n+1}} \end{vmatrix}.$$

This determinant can be rewritten as $(n + 1)$ –dimensional determinant:

$$\begin{aligned} V_n &= \frac{1}{n!} \text{mod} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\Delta_{11}}{\Delta_{1,n+1}} & \frac{\Delta_{12}}{\Delta_{1,n+1}} & & 1 \\ \frac{\Delta_{21}}{\Delta_{2,n+1}} & \frac{\Delta_{22}}{\Delta_{2,n+1}} & & 1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{\Delta_{n+1,1}}{\Delta_{n+1,n+1}} & \frac{\Delta_{n+1,2}}{\Delta_{n+1,n+1}} & & 1 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \text{mod} \frac{1}{\Delta_{1,n+1} \Delta_{2,n+1} \dots \Delta_{n+1,n+1}} \begin{vmatrix} \Delta_{11} & \Delta_{12} & & \Delta_{1,n+1} \\ \Delta_{21} & \Delta_{22} & & \Delta_{2,n+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \Delta_{n+1,1} & \Delta_{n+1,2} & & \Delta_{n+1,n+1} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \text{mod} \frac{\det(\Delta_{ij})}{\Delta_{1,n+1} \Delta_{2,n+1} \dots \Delta_{n+1,n+1}} \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma, one comes to the formula:

$$V_n = \frac{1}{n!} \text{mod} \frac{\det(\Delta_{ij})}{\Delta_{1,n+1} \Delta_{2,n+1} \dots \Delta_{n+1,n+1}} = \frac{1}{n!} \text{mod} \frac{\det(a_{ij})^n}{\Delta_{1,n+1} \Delta_{2,n+1} \dots \Delta_{n+1,n+1}}$$

Which gives us the result

$$V_n = \frac{1}{n!} \text{mod} \frac{\Delta^n}{\Delta_1 \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \dots \Delta_{n+1}}.$$